This article was downloaded by: On: 21 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

International Journal of Polymer Analysis and Characterization

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646643>

Characterization of Polymers Using Supercritical Fluid Chromatography: Application of adsorption chromatography, size exclusion chromatography and adsorption chromatography at critical conditions

Ulrich Justª; Helmut Much^ь ^a Federal Institute of Materials Research and Testing (BAM), Berlin, Germany ^b Institute of Applied Chemistry (ACA), Berlin, Germany

To cite this Article Just, Ulrich and Much, Helmut(1996) 'Characterization of Polymers Using Supercritical Fluid Chromatography: Application of adsorption chromatography, size exclusion chromatography and adsorption chromatography at critical conditions', International Journal of Polymer Analysis and Characterization, 2: 2, 173 — 184

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/10236669608233906 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10236669608233906>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Characterization of Polymers Using Supercritical Fluid Chromatography: Application of adsorption chromatography, size exclusion chromatography and adsorption chromatography at critical conditions

Ulrich **Just***

Federal Institute of Materials Research and Testing (BAM), Unter den Eichen 87, 0-12205 Berlin, Germany

and

Helmut Much

lnsfitute of *Applied Chemistry [ACA), Rudower Chaussee 5, 0-12484 Berlin, Germany*

(Received November **29,** *1994; injnal form March 13, 1995)*

Supercritical fluid chromatography **(SFC)** utilizes fluids or mixtures of fluids above their critical temperature and critical pressure which exhibit greater solvating properties with simultaneously reduced viscosities and higher diffusion coefficients compared with their liquid state; supercritical fluids, thus, offer new possibilities for characterizing polymers. The three modes of liquid chromatography are applied in the analysis of polystyrenes, using supercritical fluids (mixtures of dichloromethane with carbon dioxide) in each mode: adsorption chromatography for the separation of oligomers, size exclusion chromatography for the determination of molar **mass** distribution, and adsorption chromatography at critical conditions for the characterization of functionality type distribution. Advantages and disadvantages of size exclusion chromatography with fluids in their supercritical, subcritical and liquid phase are discussed with the system polystyrene in dichloromethane.

KEY WORDS Polymer characterization, supercritical fluid chromatography, size exclusion chromatography, chromatography at the critical point of adsorption.

INTRODUCTION

Most of the advanced polymers and new synthetic materials are heterogeneous with regards to molar mass, chemical composition, and topology. In order to correlate material properties with polymer structures of macromers, telechelics, reactive polymers and

^{*}To whom all correspondence should be addressed.

Presented at the International **GPC** Symposium **'94,** Lake Buena Vista, Florida, June 5-8, **1994.**

copolymers new analytical methods must be developed. Moreover, new materials have to be investigated in more detail in terms of stress and degradation. New analytical techniques should also be faster or more sensitive and address problems concerning recycling of polymers and environmental issues. In this respect, supercritical fluids offer new possibilities in the characterization of polymers.

Supercritical fluid chromatography **(SFC)** has mostly been used by polymer chemists in the last decade in adsorption chromatography mode to determine the molar mass of oligomers and polymers, for example poly(ethy1ene glycols) and polysiloxanes [l]. The excellent solvating power of supercritical fluids for oligomers and additives was also used in supercritical fluid extraction **(SFE)** followed by chromatographic or spectroscopic analysis.

In 1977, Giddings [2] suggested and used **SFC** for the fist time **in** size exclusion cb matography to separate polystyrenes in compressed 1,l -difluoroethane on silica. Because the supercritical state is characterized by lower viscosity and faster diffusion both the resolution and speed of separation would be enhanced. Jinno et al. [3] suggested dichloromethane as a mobile phase with controlled-pore glass packings at supercritical conditions for polystyrenes in the molar mass range up to 110,OOO g/mol. The *third* mode, the separation "at critical conditions of adsorption"[4] was not realized until recently. The new experimental devices (electronically controlled restrictors) developed by Hewlett Packard enable **SFC** with mixed mobile phases on packed columns. Thus, it should be possible **to** perform all three modes of liquid chromatography of polymers with supercritical fluids, namely, supercritical fluid adsorption chromatography **(SFAC),** supercritical fluid adsorption chromatography at critical conditions **(SFACCC),** and supercritical fluid size exclusion chromatography **(SFSEC).** This is because interactions of a polymer molecule with a mixed supercritical flyid should be similar to those with a mixed liquid chromatography **(LC)** solvent. We wanted to confirm this analogy by investigating critical conditions of adsorption.

Starting points of our considerations had been Martire's unified molecular theory of chromatography and its application to supercritical fluid mobile phase in fluid-liquidadsorption systems *[5]* and the thermodynamic model of Roth [6] on the retention of polymers in supercritical fluid chromatography with equations **as** follows,

$$
\Delta G = RT \ln K_D \tag{1}
$$

$$
\Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S \tag{2}
$$

$$
\Delta G = \sum n_i \Delta_{ij} G_i + n_j \Delta G_j \tag{3}
$$

where,

- **G** is the free enthalpy of the polymer molecule in the adsorbent pore,
- K_D is the capacity factor (distribution coefficient),
- *H* is the interaction enthalpy of the polymer molecule with the stationary phase,
- **S** is the entropy of the polymer molecule in **the** pore,
- *i* is the structural unit of a polymer,
- *j* is the heterogeneous group and
- *n* is the number of groups.

In SFC, as in all chromatographic methods, the capacity factor K_D is proportional to the variation of free energy ΔG . Analogous to LC $[4]$, three modes should exist in SFC in

SFC OF **POLYMERS 175**

order to describe interactions of a solvated polymer molecule in a pore of stationary phase. According to Equation **(2)** the free energy may be split into an enthalpy term and an entropy term. By varying interactions of the polymer molecule with the mixed mobile phase, different modes of elution behavior should be obtained (Figure **1).**

In the case of supercritical fluid adsorption chromatography at critical conditions of polymers, ΔS and ΔH compensate each other using a mixed mobile phase consisting of a good fluid and a poorer one, so that ΔG_i becomes zero. Only the different interactions of heterogeneous groups, for example end groups, with their corresponding free energy, effect retention which depends on the number of interacting heterogeneous groups n_i .

Figure 1 depicts the elution behavior of polymers in these different modes; molar mass is plotted versus elution volume. Three different dependencies are found. In a good solvent for the polymer which does not permit any interactions with the pore surfaces (SEC mode), molecules with a larger hydrodynamic volume are eluted first. At critical conditions all polymer molecules are eluted at the same elution volume independent of their molar masses. In a thermodynamically poor mobile phase molecules with higher degrees of polymerization are adsorbed in a larger extent; elution order is reversed as in SEC.

EXPERIMENTAL

The SFC experiments were conducted on a HP-SFC **G1205A** (Hewlett-Packard Company, Palo Alto, CA), equipped with an autosampler and a diode array detector $(1.7-\mu L$ cell volume). The wavelength used was **254** nm; except for the experiments depicted in Figure 6,

FIGURE ¹ matography. Plot of molar mass versus elution volume in terms of the three modes analogous to liquid chro-

the wavelength of 280 nm was used. An electronically controlled variable restrictor was part of the HP-SFC instrument, described in ref **[7].** The column used for all experiments was a Hypersil 4-mm i.d. \times 200 mm 5-µm silica, 120 Å pore size (Hewlett-Packard). The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1 mL/min. The samples were dissolved in dichloromethane at a concentration of 0.1 w/w $\%$; 0.5 μ L was injected via the rotor volume of the helium-pressure actuated switching valve.

Except for the analysis of the polyisoprene sample, a fused-silica capillary column SB-Biphenyl-30 (stationary phase 30% biphenyl, 70% methyl polysiloxane, $50 \mu m$ i.d. $\times 10$ m, 0.25 µm film thickness) (Lee Scientific, Salt Lake City, UT) was utilized, provided with an frit restrictor. The mobile phase was pure carbon dioxide; the linear velocity through the column/restrictor was 2.2 cm/s at a pressure of 100 bar and a temperature of 125 $^{\circ}$ C. The injector rotor volume was 0.2 μ L, and the injection was done in the time-split mode (0.3 s). The polyisoprene was dissolved in dichloromethane at a concentration of 2 $w/w \%$.

Dichloromethane and toluene (purity 99.5%) were from E.Merck, Darmstadt, Germany, and the carbon dioxide 5.5 from Messer Griesheim, Krefeld, Germany. The polystyrene and polyisoprene standards were from Waters Associates, Milford, MA.

The determination of the critical point of adsorption is possible by varying the mobile phase $(CO₂$ mixed with a polar solvent) or the temperature, pressure and density of the fluid, respectively. In the SEC mode a thermodynamically good solvent is needed under supercritical conditions. By successive increase of the content of a thermodynamically poor solvent, the adsorption mode will be reached. The critical conditions are realized when the retention time of the mean peak is independent on the polymer molar mass.

In selecting a suitable stationary phase, the heterogeneous groups should have a greater retention than the monomer unit in the chain; the mean value of the pore distribution of the stationary phase has to be greater than the hydrodynamic volume of the supercritical fluid solvated polymers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the adsorption mode in SFC (Table I), polymer molecules must be soluble up to high molar masses; thus, in the presence of stationary phase, polymer aggregations must not occur. In **this** mode, all monomer basic or structural units of the polymer should contribute to retention. Occurrence of chain loops will result in broadening of chromatographic *peaks,* since retention will be caused exclusively by the variation of adsorption enthalpy with reduced interactions of polymer segments because of chain loops.

In Figure 2 separation of polystyrene standard 1,000 g/mol in the adsorption mode on a packed silica column is depicted. The mobile phase was *58%* dichloromethane/42% carbon dioxide by volume; the fluids were drawn individually into the two pumps of the **HP-**SFC system and transported to the mixing chamber, then through the injector, column, detector, and restrictor; the oven temperature was held at 438 K, the column outlet pressure was kept constant at the electronically controlled restrictor with 200 bar. The short elution time combined with the high-separating efficiency using a normal HPLC column was remarkable.

TABLE I

Adsorption mode of polymers in SFC

Mechanism

All monomer units and heterogenous groups **are** able to interact with the **surface** groups, AH processes dominate. Intermolecular interactions of polymer segments **are** reduced. Retention is caused by change of adsorption enthalpy only.

Advantages

- More rapid analysis than in the liquid state **because of** better **transport** conditions.
- Higher resolution because of lower theoretical plate height.
- Application of capillary columns is possible.
- Multigradient elution is favorable.

Figure 3 demonstrates the high resolution of a capillary SB-Biphenyl-30 column in adsorption mode with pure carbon dioxide. A functionalized polyisoprene standard (molecular weight *M,* 940 g/mol) **was** separated using a density program with the initial density of 0.35 g/mL, increased to 0.45 g/mL in 500 s, then increased to 0.65 g/mL in **6,000** s, isothermal at 398 K. At lower degrees of polymerization, the differently functionalized end groups were separated. Assignment of peaks with regard to their functionality can be achieved by coupling **SFC** with **NMR** or **MS.** A quantitative determination of functionality type distribution is not yet possible because it is necessary to integrate over all degrees of polymerization.

At the critical point of adsorption (Table II), enthalpy ΔH_i of the monomer basic unit of the polymer is compensated by entropy ΔS_i . Only the different free enthalpies of the het-

FIGURE 2 Adsorption chromatographic separation of polystyrene 1.ooO g/mol in the supercritical state. Mobile phase: 58% dichloromethane/42% carbon dioxide by volume, 438 K, 200 bar.

FIGURE 3 High resolution SFC of functionalized polyisoprenes in the adsorption mode. Stationary phase: SB-Biphenyl-30 capillary column; mobile phase: carbon dioxide, 398 K, density program.

SFC OF POLYMERS 179

TABLE I1

Critical conditions of adsorption mode in SFC

Mechanism

Only heterogenous groups (end groups) are able to interact with **surface** groups; enthalpy and entropy processes $(\Delta H$ and $\Delta S)$ of the monomer unit compensate each other.

The hydrodynamic volume in the mixed supercritical fluid is reduced. Intermolecular interaction **of** segments **are** stronger **as** in adsorption mode, entropic processes are suppressed.

Retention is caused by adsorption enthalpy of end groups only.

Advantages

- Critical conditions (DH **and DS** compensate each other) **are** realized with supercritical fluids.
- Characterization of chemical heterogeneity is possible.

erogeneous groups ΔH_i (end groups or branching) can contribute to retention. The hydrodynamic volume of the coil is reduced under these conditions, that is, polymer molecules of higher molar masses can reach pores with equal diameter. The separation range will become larger. Entropic processes in the coil are reduced by intermolecular interactions of the segments.

Figure **4** depicts the chromatograms of two polystyrene standards and toluene at the critical conditions for polystyrene. The mobile phase was a mixture of 79% dichloromethane **and** 21% carbon dioxide by volume. The retention times proved to be independent of the molar masses of polystyrenes. Figure *5* shows the chromatograms of the polystyrene precursor $(M_w 2,000$ g/mol) and the functionalized polystyrenes $(M_w 2,000)$ 65,000 g/mol), synthesized by reaction of the living polystyrene precursor with a trifunc**tional2,4,6-chloro-1,3,5-triazine.8** The length of arms corresponds to the size of the initial

FIGURE 4 SFC at critical conditions of adsorption of polystyrene standards 2.7×10^6 , 1.8×10^5 g/mol, and toluene. Mobile phase: 79% dichloromethane/21% carbon dioxide (by volume), 473 K, 200 bar.

FIGURE 5 Separation of polystyrene precursor (A), OH-terminated three-armed **polystyrene (B), and acetylterminated three-armed polystyrene (C) at critical conditions of adsorption in SFC. Mobile phase: 79%** dichloromethane/21% carbon dioxide (by volume), 473 K, 200 bar.

precursor. Separation of hydroxyl- **as** well as acetyl-terminated polystyrenes could be achieved. The main peak indicates the polystyrene precursor, whereas the following peaks are caused respectively by the hydroxyl-, and acetyl end groups of the polystyrene molecules with increasing number of arms. The assignment of peaks have not been determined, but could by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS).

In size exclusion mode, the whole polymer molecule is solvated by the fluid in such **a** way that no interactions with the pore walls **are** possible (Table **111).** The coil is expanded so that no interactions of the segments are permitted, Exclusively entropy is responsible for separation. The separation range of stationary phase is smaller than with the two other modes because of expanded coils.

Mechanism

Monomer units and end groups do not interact with the stationary phase.

to occur. The coil is expanded, interaction between polymer segments allow the entropic processes in the polymer coil

Retention is caused by change of entropy.

Advantages

- More rapid analysis than in the liquid state because of better diffusion conditions and lower viscosities at the higher separation temperatures
- Higher resolution and exclusion limit.
- Lower theoretical plate heights.
- Suitable for micro SEC and process control.

Figure 6 demonstrates size exclusion chromatography of a standard mixture of polystyrenes (2.7 \times 10⁶, 35 \times 10³, and 1.8 \times 10³ g/mol) and toluene, respectively under supercritical conditions utilizing pure dichloromethane. The separation range of the silica column is broad and resolution is more pronounced in the higher molar mass range. Peak shapes are good. Further investigations are necessary to understand these effects completely.

Chromatograms performed in the supercritical state at 518 K, in the subcritical state at 413 K, and in the liquid state at 308 K, depicted in Figure 7, show that the highest elution velocity with equal resolution can be achieved in the supercritical state. The **flow** rate of the mobile phase dichloromethane is the highest under supercritical conditions because of its low density in this state.

Figure 8 shows the calibration curves of polystyrenes in dichloromethane with different temperatures but isobaric conditions. The calibration curves shift with regard to elution volumes, because with higher temperatures more rapid elution takes place due to the lower viscosities of the fluids. Retention is changed considerably because of higher values of free volume of the solvated polymer molecules. Under the thermodynamic conditions used, no thermochemical change of silica could be observed. Further experiments are necessary in order to optimize the resolution in the whole molar mass range by variation of pressure, temperature and density of mobile phases.

FIGURE 6 SEC of polystyrene standards in supercritical dichloromethane at 518 K, 150 bar.

FIGURE 7 SEC of polystyrene standards with dichloromethane in the supercritical (A), subcritical (B), and liquid state (C).

FIGURE 8 Calibration curves in different states of mobile phase.

CONCLUSIONS

The principle of entropy-enthalpy compensation in liquid adsorption chromatography at critical conditions was applied for the first time in SFC. At critical conditions the separation and analysis of end-group functionalized macromers, telechelics, cycles and branched polymers appears **to** be possible.

SEC separations up to high molar mass can be carried out with supercritical mobile phases using electronically controlled restrictors; in frit restrictors polymers may precipi**tate,** and clogging of the restrictor may occur. SEC in supercritical fluids allows more rapid separation with higher resolution. Micro methods using capillary columns may be possible permitting rapid process control during the production of polymers.

Supercritical fluids offer new possibilities in polymer characterization of multidimensional chromatographic techniques. SFC may be preferred for preparative investigations and coupling with Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy and mass spectrometry.

Acknowledgments

Prof. Burchard from University of Freiburg is gratefully acknowledged for providing the three-armed polystyrenes. We thank **Dr.** R.-P. Kriiger and **Dr.** G. Schulz from Institute of Applied Chemistry Berlin-Adlershof for useful discussions.

References

- 1. F. **P.** Schmitz and E. Klesper, *J. ofSupercriticn1 Fluids,* **3,29** (1990).
- **2. J.** C. Giddings, L. M. Bowman, **Jr.,** and M. N. Myers, *Awl. Chem.,* **49,243 (1977).**
- **3.** C. Fujimoto, **T.** Watanabe, and K. Jinno, *J. Chmmrogr: Sci.,* **27,325 (1989).**
- **4. S.** G. Entelis, **V. V.** Evreinov, and A. **V.** Gorshkov, *Adv. Polym* **Sci., 76, 129 (1987).**
- **5. D. E.** Martire and **R.** E. Boehm, *J.* Phys. *Chern.,* **91,2433 (1987).**
- **6.** M. **Roth,** *J.* Phys. *Chem,* **94,4309** (1990).
- **7.** Application note 'The *HP* Supercritical Fluid Chromatograph", May **1992,** Hewlett-Packad Company, **Palo** Alto, CA. USA.
- **8.** Prof. Burchard, Freiburg, Germany, Personal Communication